

[Note: This appendix is an example of a weighing device type evaluation process. If you include this process flowchart in your quality manual it must be edited to represent your laboratory process.]

[Note: (TEA) – type evaluation administrator]



TITLE: Handling and Tracking of Test Items

Pg 2 of 2



No


5

Go to Pg 4 of 10

#### TITLE: Conducting Laboratory Type Evaluations

Pg 1 of 2


Weighing Devices to include: automatic weighing systems, axle-load, beltconveyor, counter, bulkweigher, crane, floor, grain test, hanging, hopper, indicating element, jeweler, livestock, on-board weighing system, POS, postal, prepackaging, prescription, shipping, vehicle, weight classifier, wheel-load weigher 1. Record the date the evaluation is started and notify TEA of the start



Rev. 06/01/02 **PGS** DATE: Doc Pg 3 OF 10

# TITLE: Conducting Laboratory Type Evaluations

Pg 2 of 2




DATE: Rev. 06/01/02 Doc Pg 4 OF 10 PGS

## TITLE: Drafting The Certificate of Conformance

Pg 1 of 1

Weighing Devices to include: automatic weighing systems, axle-load, beltconveyor, counter, bulkweigher, crane, floor, grain test, hanging, hopper, indicating element, jeweler, livestock, on-board weighing system, POS, postal, prepackaging, prescription, shipping, vehicle, weight classifier, wheel-load weigher



DATE: Rev. 06/01/02 Doc Pg 5 OF 10 PGS

# TITLE: Type Evaluation Failure Process


Pg 1 of 1


#### 1. Failure

All unresolved deficiencies found until the point that no other testing can be conducted. All deficiencies found during permanence testing is considered a failure. Written correspondence is sent to the manufacturer after each failure to include all deficiencies found and NIST is notified. (see Publication 14, Administrative Procedures, Section Q - Report of Deficiencies).

## 2. NON-failure: In laboratory or initial field evaluation


Deficiencies corrected while the inspector is present and testing, and there is minimal time delay to the testing. The inspector should document the deficiencies but this is not considered a failure and will not require the issuance of an updated control number. There is no written correcspondance notifying the manufacturer of noncompliances since the corrections were made while the inspector was present.






TITLE: Conducting Initial Field Performance Type Evaluations

Pg 2 of 2




DATE: Rev. 06/01/02 Doc Pg 8 OF 10 PGS

NISTIR 7028 96 July 2003



TITLE: Conducting Subsequent Field Permanence Type Evaluations

Pg 2 of 2



DATE:	Rev. 06/01/02	Doc Pg	10	OF 10	PGS
-------	---------------	--------	----	-------	-----